



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,022	09/23/2003	Hugh Walsh	MP0342	4538
26703 7590 09/19/2008 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE P.L.C. 5445 CORPORATE DRIVE SUITE 200 TROY, MI 48098				
EXAMINER				
ZHU, BO HUI ALVIN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2619				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/19/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/670,022

Applicant(s)

WALSH, HUGH

Examiner

BO HUI A. ZHU

Art Unit

2619

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BO HUI A. ZHU (Examiner).

(3) _____.

(2) JEFFREY J. CHAPP (Applicant Representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 44 - 46 and 51.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ren.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The 103 rejection of claim 1 was discussed. Mr. Chapp explained the differences between the invention of claim 1 and the Ren reference. Examiner explained his position and agreed to reconsider the rejection in the next response. The 112 1st paragraph rejections of claims 1, 44 - 46 and 51 were also discussed. Mr. Chapp agreed to address the 112 problem in the next response..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hassan Kizoul/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619